

Count on us to care.

Maricopa Medical Center 2601 E. Roosevelt Phoenix, Arizona 85008 602-344-5011

Comprehensive Healthcare Center 2525 E. Roosevelt St. Phoenix, AZ 85008 602-344-5011

Desert Vista Behavioral Health Center 270 W. Brown Rd. Mesa, AZ 85201 480-344-2000

Complete Comfort Care 2611 E. Pierce St. Phoenix, AZ 85008 602-344-2700

Family Health Centers:

Avondale 950 E Van Buren Avondale, AZ 85323 623-344-6800

Chandler 811 S. Hamilton Chandler, AZ 85225 480-344-6100

El Mirage 12428 W. Thunderbird El Mirage, AZ 85335 623-344-6500

Glendale 5141 W. Lamar Glendale, AZ 85301 623-344-6700

Guadalupe 5825 E. Calle Guadalupe Guadalupe, AZ 85283 480-344-6000

Maryvale 4011 N. 51st Ave Phoenix, AZ 85031 602-344-6900

McDowell 1144 E. McDowell Rd, Ste 300 Phoenix, AZ 85008 602-344-6550

Mesa 59 S. Hibbert Mesa, AZ 85210 480-344-6200

Seventh Avenue 1407 S. 9th Ave Phoenix, AZ 85007 602-344-6600

South Central 33 W. Tamarisk Phoenix, AZ 85041 602-344-6400

Sunnyslope 934 W. Hatcher Phoenix, AZ 85021 602-344-6300 October 1, 2014

The Honorable Susan Illston
United States District Court Judge
United States District Court for the
District of Northern California
16th Floor
450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102



Re: In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation,
Case No. 3:07-MD-1827 SI
MDL No. 1827
Maricopa Integrated Health System's Petition to Accept Late Claim

The Honorable Susan Illston:

The Maricopa Integrated Health System (MIHS) is the hospital and health care safety net system for residents of Maricopa County, Arizona. MIHS serves people of many races and nationalities who come from diverse cultures and speak several different languages. MIHS is committed to providing culturally appropriate, sensitive medical care and helping its patients live healthier lives. MIHS provides care for all that enter its doors, irrespective of means or ability to pay. MIHS operates numerous health facilities and health care centers in Maricopa County, including the only public teaching hospital in Arizona, otherwise known as the Maricopa Medical Center.

MIHS hereby requests that this Court exercise its discretion, in the interests of justice and fairness, to accept MIHS' claim that was submitted after June 6, 2014. Distribution to MIHS would not delay the distribution to other class members.

MIHS first learned of the above referenced class action upon receiving a solicitation from a private firm, Financial Recovery Services, seeking our joinder in their efforts to assemble a group of potential class members. After receiving that notice, MIHS verified, via the claims website, that claims were still being accepted and MIHS made a request for a list of purchases from the vendor. Once all the information was obtained MIHS filed its claim form on August 26, 2014.

In the Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs' and Settling States' Joint Notice of Motion and Motion to Appoint Fund Administrator and Distribute Settlement Fund (Dkt. No. 927; the "Distribution Motion"), Class Counsel allowed for a procedure whereby claimants could submit their claims after June 6, 2014 by filing a petition to the Court seeking acceptance of a tardy claim and for authorization to pay those claims. (See Distribution Motion at 8 n.21.)

The same arguments that Class Counsel set forth as to why late claims filed prior to June 6, 2014, should be paid, applies to late claims filed after June 6, 2014, i.e. the "late claim deadline." As Counsel points out in the Distribution Motion there is an interest in maximizing the participation of class members in the settlements. Distribution Motion at 7. Allowing MIHS' additional late claim will achieve this goal of increasing participation in the settlement.

As stated above, allowing MIHS' claim will not delay the distribution of funds. MIHS has been injured as a result of the alleged wrongful conduct, just like all of the timely claimants and the pre-June 2014 claimants. As such, and as Counsel points out, "because all claimants are similarly situated, none of them has a better right to recover than any other claimant. In other words, because no distribution delay has been occasioned by the processing of those claims, the first-filed claim is no more entitled to recover or to recover more per unit that the last claim received and processed." Distribution Motion at 7. The mere fact that MIHS' claim was filed 21 months after the original filing deadline, rather than in the 18 months extension from the original filing deadline, is not reason enough to exclude MIHS from the planned distribution of settlement funds.

Moreover, MIHS' claim will not materially alter the expected payout per unit. Class members will still be receiving well in excess of the original estimated recovery of \$25 per panel equivalent.

Therefore, MIHS respectfully requests that the Court exercise its discretion to allow MIHS' claim to be included in the planned distribution of the settlement funds.

We appreciate your consideration of this matter. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Laura Lewis, ACP

Advanced Certified Paralegal Maricopa Integrated Health System

Saumswir

(602) 344-1262

cc. LCD Indirect Class Action Notice Administrator P.O. Box 8025

Faribault, MN 55021-9425